



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/834,240	04/15/97	LIBMAN		R	430-012
_		LM71/1001	コ	EXAMINER	
SHUKAT A. KARJEKER, ESQ. SNELL & WILMER ONE ARIZONA CENTER PHOENIX AZ 85004-0001				ALVARE:	z,R
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2761	10
				DATE MAILED:	10/01/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary



Application No.

08/834,240

Applicant(s)

Richard M. Libman

Examiner

RAQUEL ALVAREZ

Group Art Unit 2761



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 19, 1999	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure t application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensio 37 CFR 1.136(a).	o respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
∑ Claim(s) <i>1-137</i>	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecto	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗀 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority of	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	
\square received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of References Cited Ci	4-1
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.)(S)
Interview Summary, PTO-413Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	I C II TOS FEE
the remainder of \$40.00 I	the Response Son Algerman
Please Submit the The See OFFICE ACTION ON I	HE FOLLOWING BACES

Art Unit: 2761

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-137 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

2. Claims 1-137 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 156 of copending Application No. 08/661,004. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: preparing a client communication pertaining to a financial product or insurance product for a client wherein the client communication is for combined use with a corresponding and respective host vehicle, using decision information to automatically select variable information into the client communication regarding individual life insurance products other then term life insurance products.

The instant claims are broader in scope than the parent application therefore by default the allowed claims contain the subject matter of the instant claims. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have broaden the scope of the claims because such a modification would wider the scope of the claims.

Art Unit: 2761

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
- A. Lucks et al. (4,831,526) disclosed a computerized insurance premium quote request and policy issuance system;
- **B.** Tyler (5,523,942) disclosed a design grid for inputting insurance and investment product information in a computer system;
- C. Atkins (5,644,727) disclosed a system for the operation and management of one or more financial accounts through the use of a digital communication and computation system for exchange, investment and borrowing;
- **D.** Ryan et. al(5,673,402) disclosed a computer system for producing an illustration of an investment repaying a mortgage;
- E. Ryan et al.(5,655,085) disclosed a computer system for automated comparing of universal life insurance policies based on selectable criteria;
- F. Clark et al.(5,710,889) disclosed an interface device for electronically integrating global financial services.



Art Unit: 2761

Points Of Contact

4. Any inquiry concerning this communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (703) 305-0456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:00 AM. To 5:00 PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, The examiner's supervisor, Todd Voeltz can be reached at (703) 305-9714. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 305-0040.

Raduel Alvarez

Patent Examiner, AU 2761

September 30, 1999

EMANUEL TODD VOELTS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2700